other people who are interested in this issue will certainly be looking at the issue and trying to come up with  $\bar{\bf a}$  proposition which meets the objection of the people who introduced the bill if this bill fails. It is a bill now that is not in good shape. You know Senator Schmit has a saying or a criteria for getting a bill passed easily. You know his criteria is, if it doesn't do anything, if it doesn't cost anything, if it doesn't help anybody, if it doesn't hurt anybody, you can get one passed easily. I'd like to change that a little bit and say that it is easier to get a flawed bill passed if you set the effective date way down the future. You know, you have a bill that is not in good shape, you set the effective date, oh way on down there as far as 1989, say, okay, we're going to fix it up someday, somehow. Well, the big risk of course is that will never happen that we will have a bill that is not in proper form, that does not ever get changed and if there are some obvious things that are changed, they don't change the thrust of the bill and that is that some Class I's serve a legitimate purpose, they should be allowed to remain there. I ask that you vote against the bill. I withdraw the amendment, Mr. Chairman.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Mr. Clerk, will you please read LB 662.

CLERK: (Read LB 662 on Final Reading.)

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Rod Johnson has requested a roll call vote on this momentous occasion, reverse order. Mr. Clerk, please call the roll on LB 662.

CLERK: (Roll call vote read as found on pages 1658-59 of the Legislative Journal.) 25 ayes, 23 nays, Mr. President, on the bill.

SPEAKER NICHOL: The bill passes. While the Legislature is in session and capable of transacting business, I propose to sign and do sign LB 662. Do you have anything to read in, Mr. Clerk?

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Labedz would like to print amendments to LB 496. (See pages 1659-61 of the Legislative Journal.)

I have an Attorney General's Opinion addressed to Senator Hannibal. (Re: LB 496.)